

MINUTES

Bayside Local Planning Panel - Other Applications held in the Committee Room, Botany Town Hall Corner of Edward Street and Botany Road, Botany on Tuesday 11 March 2025 at 6:00 PM

Present

Sue Francis, Chairperson David Epstein, Independent Expert Member Kerry Kyriacou, Independent Expert Member Katrina Blando, Community Repesentative

Also present

David Smith, Acting Manager Development Services Marta Gonzalez-Valdes, Coordinator Development Assessment Christopher Mackay, Coordinator Development Assessment Angela Lazaridis, Coordinator Development Administration and Advisory Ivy Zhang, Development Assessment Planner

Deliberations commenced at 6:16pm.

1 Acknowledgement of Country

The Chairperson affirmed that Bayside Council acknowledges the Bidjigal Clan, the traditional owners of the land on which we meet and work and acknowledges the Gadigal people of the Eora Nation. Bayside Council pays respects to Elders past and present.

2 Apologies

There were no apologies received

3 Disclosures of Interest

There were no declarations of interest - refer to the attached declarations.

4 Minutes of Previous Meetings

Nil

5 Reports – Planning Proposals

Nil

6 Reports – Development Applications

<u>6.1</u>			and Rd Sth BEXLEY NSW 2207 -
	Development Ap	plication	
Panel	members have unde	ertaken indi	vidual inspections of the site.
•		eferring to a	representative, spoke against the officer's a reques to defer the matter and responded to
•	,		ant's representative, spoke against the officer's ed to the Panel's questions.
Decis	ion		
	consent authority pur Assessment Act 197 demolition of existing development, compr basement level park	suant to s4. 9, determin 9 structures ising 26 resi ng at 7 -11 ow the Ap	Panel, exercising the functions of Council as the 16 and s4.17 of the <i>Environmental Planning and</i> be Development Application No.DA-2024/89 for and construction of a six (6) storey mixed use idential units, ground floor commercial units and Kingsland Rd South, BEXLEY by DEFERRING plicant to address issues relating to design, of FSR.
Name	9	For	Against
Sue F	Francis	\boxtimes	
David	I Epstein	\boxtimes	
Kerry	Kyriacou	\boxtimes	
Katrin	na Blando	\boxtimes	

Reason for Panel's Determination:

The Panel notes the request from the Applicant in a letter dated 11 March 2025 to defer the matter with a view to resolving outstanding issues, particularly in relation to 'Fly Safe' requirements in respect of height, but also in relation to certain design matters. The Panel has agreed to defer this matter on that basis and specifically to address the following issues:

1. Address the issues raised by the Design Review Panel;

2. Provide a preliminary site investigation report in relation to potential contamination;

3. Consider, if any dewatering is required and whether the development will be integrated as part of this proposal or not;

4. The matters discussed relating to design, being, but not limited to:

- Increased floor to ceiling heights for residential levels as discussed by Panel;
- Review of residential unit layouts;
- Height clearance for the basement ramp for larger vehicles;
- Consequential impact of the height of the building which will need to be incorporated in any amended plans and raised with 'Fly Safe';
- The design of the colonade and the ground floor setback.

5. Clarification of the resulting building height and GFA/FSR, and the necessity for a Clause 4.6;

6. Clarification on any planning documentation inconsistencies for example, the deep soil shown on the architectural plans and landsacpe plans are inconsistent.

The Panel recommend that prior to finalising any amended plans that the applicant and their representatives liaise with Council staff in relation to those amendments. As agreed at the Panel meeting, final amended plans shall be received for consideration by Council staff within three (3) weeks of the formal receipt of minutes of this matter.

6.2 DA-2024/186 - 84 Culver Street Monterey - Development Application

Panel members have undertaken individual inspections of the site.

No registered speaker for this item.

Decision

- 1. That the Bayside Local Planning Panel is not satisfied that the applicant's written request to contravene Clause 4.4 of the Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021 has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by Clause 4.6 of that Plan.
- 2. That the Bayside Local Planning Panel, exercising the functions of Council as the consent authority pursuant to s4.16 and s4.17 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, determine Development Application DA-2024/186 for Rectification of unapproved works and formalise the use of a first floor terrace on the primary dwelling and formalise the use of a detached secondary dwelling at 84

Culver Street, MONTEREY NSW 2217 REFUSE CONSENT for the following reasons:

- a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development fails to satisfy aim (f) and (j) of Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021 in that the proposal fails to satisfy the Floor Space Ratio and landscaped area requirements, does not enhance amenity for residents and fails to increase urban tree canopy cover;
- b) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development fails to satisfy the third objective of the R2 Low Density Residential zone of Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021 in that the proposal adversely impact on the character and amenity of the area;
- c) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development fails to satisfy the following Sections of the Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021:
 - i. Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio (FSR);
 - ii. Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards;
- d) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(a)(iii) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development is not consistent with the objectives and does not comply with the provisions of the Bayside Development Control Plan 2022, including the following parts:
 - i. Part 3.7 Landscaping, Private Open Space and Biodiversity;
 - ii. Part 5.1.2 Ancillary Uses Additions, Garages, Secondary Dwellings and Studios;
- e) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development is excessive in terms of floor space ratio and insufficient in landscaped area and will adversely impact upon the character and amenity of the area in the following manner:
 - i. Built Environment The non-compliant FSR and insufficient landscaped area contribute to overdevelopment by exceeding the intended density for the site and reducing the balance between built form and open space. This results in an overly intensive use of the land and reducing opportunities for deep soil planting, stormwater absorption, and outdoor recreation. The lack of adequate landscaping further diminishes the local character and canopy cover.
- f) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.15(1)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the proposed development is not considered to be in the public interest and is likely to set an undesirable precedent.

2. That the submitter	s are to be not	ified of the Pane	l's decision.	
Name	For	Against		
Sue Francis				
David Epstein	\boxtimes			
Kerry Kyriacou	\boxtimes			
Katrina Blando	\boxtimes			

Reason for Panel's Determination:

Panel notes that certain works are carried out without development consent. This Panel does not have any jurisdictional power to grant development consent for any physical works. What the Panel is being asked is to consider the use of the structures. In this respect, that relates to the use of the terrace on the dwelling and the use of the CDC ancillary structure as a "secondary dwelling". However, the Panel notes that the plans submitted with this application do not show a kitchen in the "secondary dwelling" and as such that structure would be considered an ancillary studio so technically no change of use.

Notwithstanding that and assuming the intent is to use the structure as a secondary dwelling as defined, whilst in principle, the Panel has no objection to the use as a secondary dwelling, there is insufficient information to satisfy the Panel that the plans demonstrate that a reasonable level of amenity can be achieved in the structure i.e. no kitchen.

In relation to the terrace, whilst in principle its use may be acceptable, the lack of planters at the front are undesirable. In the circumstances, the Panel whilst accepting in principle the uses suggested, there is insufficient information before being satisfied for the proposed uses.

Reasons for refusal

- a) Insufficient clarity to what is propsed as to use; and
- b) Insufficient information to demonstrate the appropriateness of the use within structures previously built.

Closed deliberations concluded at 6:31pm.

Certified as true and correct.

Sue Francis Chairperson

ltem	Description	Declaration of Interest
Other /	Applications (Non-Public Meeti	ng)
6.1	DA-2024/89- 7-11 Kingsland Road, South Bexley	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest ⊠ or an actual ¹ □, potential ² □ or reasonably perceived ³ □ conflict of interest as detailed in the attached document.
6.2	DA-2024/186 - 84 Culver Street Monterey	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest \(\begin{aligned} or an actual^1 \[], potential ² \[] or reasonably perceived ³ \[] conflict of interest as detailed in the attached document.
Public	Meeting	
6.1	MDA-2024/198 – 25-29 Daphne Street, Botany	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest ⊠ or an actual ¹ _, potential ² _ or reasonably perceived ³ _ conflict of interest as detailed in the attached document.
France		



Declaration of Interest - Bayside Local Planning Panel Meeting 11/03/2025

Item	Description	Declaration of Interest		
Other Applications (Non-Public Meeting)				
6.1	DA-2024/89- 7-11 Kingsland Road, South Bexley	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest in or an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perce of interest as detailed in the attached document		
6.2	DA-2024/186 - 84 Culver Street Monterey	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest is or an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perce of interest as detailed in the attached document		
Public	Meeting	1		
6.1	MDA-2024/198 – 25-29 Daphne Street, Botany	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest ⊠ or an actual ¹ □, potential ² □ or reasonably perce of interest as detailed in the attached document		
6.1	THEFT E CE II TO C E C E C	no known conflict of interest \boxtimes or an actual ¹ \square , potential ² \square or reasonably perce		
)avid (Epstein	C)5/03/2025	
Name	(please print)	Signature Di	ate	



Declaration of Interest - Bayside Local Planning Panel Meeting 11/03/2025

ations (Non-Public Meeti 2024/89- 7-11 Kingsland d, South Bexley	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
	an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest as detailed in the attached document.
	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest X or an actual ¹ _, potential ² _ or reasonably perceived ³ _ conflic of interest as detailed in the attached document.
ng	
120211100 20 20	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest X or an actual ¹ _, potential ² _ or reasonably perceived ³ _ conflic of interest as detailed in the attached document.
	2024/186 - 84 Culver et Monterey ng A-2024/198 – 25-29 hne Street, Botany

Item	Description	Declaration of Interest
Other	Applications (Non-Public Meeti	ng)
6.1	DA-2024/89- 7-11 Kingsland Road, South Bexley	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest X or an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflic of interest as detailed in the attached document.
6.2	DA-2024/186 - 84 Culver Street Monterey	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest X or an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflic of interest as detailed in the attached document.
Public	Meeting	
6.1	MDA-2024/198 – 25-29 Daphne Street, Botany	In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest 🔀 or an actual ¹ , potential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest as detailed in the attached document.